

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia Two](#); [East Anglia ONE North](#)
Subject: Deadline 3 Submission
Date: 15 December 2020 12:28:27

Submission from Ms Fiona Cramb: [REDACTED]

I am writing in relation to the Applicant's application for additional land

I support and endorse all of the submissions of SASES ,SEAS and Councillor Fellowes made to date .

I would wish to emphasise the following points.

1. The nature and scope of the proposed changes is very hard to decipher from the plans. It seems as if SPR intend to acquire a piece of the field to the [north west] of the present site and SPR says that this will have "minimal" impact. This is land which is within metres of High House Farm. The new proposal will have a significant impact upon High House Farm. It highlights the fact, yet again, that large scale works will be ongoing quite literally just a few metres away from the border with my home destroying *any* tranquillity and peace that we would otherwise have expected.
2. First, the assumption behind SPR's new application is that the present site is the *only* development that will *ever* occur on this land. This ignores the cumulative impact of the many projects that are planned for this site. There is no guarantee that *any* mitigation will occur because once the present developments is built, or even whilst it is being built, the land will come to be used for a series of other, new, developments. By ignoring cumulative impact SPR pretends that it can devise solutions by way of mitigation that it must know are speculative, hypothetical and contingent upon other projects.
3. Second, the plans ignore the fact that the footpaths that are going to be lost during construction are routes that we regularly use because they connect to *other* footpaths which go to Snape and Sternfield, ie away from Friston. These will now be lost to us where they were not before. As I said in my main submission the footpaths out of Friston are integral links to a range of other footpaths.